

Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10014091-1

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Huitao Luo

Confirmation No.: 6419

Application No.: 10/046,797

Examiner: A. Richer

Filing Date: Jan. 14, 2002

Group Art Unit: 2676

Title: Systems and Methods for Processing Boundary Information of a Graphical Object

Mail Stop
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 24 2004

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

OFFICIAL

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- () Response/Amendment () Petition to extend time to respond
() New fee as calculated below () Supplemental Declaration
(X) No additional fee
(X) Other: Interview Summary (fee \$)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$18	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$88	\$ 0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$290	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$110.00	2ND MONTH \$420.00	3RD MONTH \$950.00	4TH MONTH \$1480.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.26. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Huitao Luo

By

James D. Shaurette

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 8/24/04

(X) hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted
to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile
number (703) 872-9306 on 8/24/2004
Number of pages: 3

Typed Name: Natalie King

Signature:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to facsimile number 703-872-9306.

Date of Transmission: 8/24/2004

Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: Natane King

Signature: 

PATENT APPLICATION
DOCKET NO. 10014091-1

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 24 2004

OFFICIAL

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S): Huitao Luo

SERIAL NO.: 10/046,797

GROUP ART UNIT: 2676

FILED: January 14, 2002

EXAMINER: A. Richer

SUBJECT: Systems and Methods for Processing Boundary Information of a Graphical Object

Interview Summary A

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephone conference of July 8, 2004. The undersigned and the Examiner discussed the Office Action dated May 25, 2004. More specifically, the undersigned noted that claims 33-34 were not examined or allowed and Applicant requested clarification of the status of such claims in a subsequent Office Action so Applicant could determine how to appropriately proceed with the prosecution of this application. Applicant also presented arguments regarding patentability of the rejected claims. For example, Applicant traversed the obviousness rejection of claims 1 and 12 based upon the fact that Kim is directed towards encoding of data for compression for later reconstruction of the data and the purpose of Kim would be destroyed if the subject matter of Kim were modified pursuant to the Office Action to permit user specification of points as alleged to

PDNO. 10014091-1
Serial No. 10/046,797
Amendment A

be disclosed by Ikezawa. In particular, the combination would require significant modification of Kim, require significant user intervention during operation, and at a minimum would drastically slow the encoding process of Kim to unacceptable speeds which defeat the efficiencies gained by encoding in the first instance. Further at least in view of the numerous drawbacks of the modification of Kim set forth in the Office Action, there is no motivation to modify the reference teachings in support of the rejection and the only motivation results from improper reliance upon Applicant's disclosure. It was agreed that Applicant would decide how to proceed after receiving the follow-up new Office Action.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted,
Huitao Luo

By: 

James D. Shaurette

Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 8/24/04

(509) 624-4276

PDNO. 10014091-1
Serial No. 10/046,797
Amendment A